REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application is respectfully requested. Applicant has addressed every ground for rejection in the Office Action dated June 20, 2005 and believes the application is now in condition for allowance.

Claims 1-24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. Pursuant to the Examiner's suggestion, Claims 1, 16, 17 and 21 have been amended to clarify that the second portion is attached to the first portion. Applicant has also amended Claims 1, 13, 16, 19, 21 and 23 to clarify that the grains cross one another to provide resistance to tearing in multiple directions and are not aligned in the same direction. Claim 12 has been amended to be consistent with Claim 1's clamshell package. Claim 13 also has been amended to state that at least one of the cavities extends outward from the first portion. Pursuant to the Examiner's suggestion, Claim 19 also has been amended to clarify that the combined panels have at least two crossing grains. Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that these rejections are traversed and should be withdrawn.

Claims 17 and 19-20 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as being anticipated by Lauterbach Jr. U.S. Patent No. 3,402,873. According to the Examiner, Lauterbach Jr. teaches a first portion of substantially tear-resistant material and a second portion of substantially tear-resistant material having two substantially crossing grains. Claims 17 and 19 have been amended to state that the display chamber extends through a hole in the first portion of the housing. It is respectfully submitted that none of the prior art teaches each of the claim limitations of these claims, as amended. For this reason and the reasons previously presented by Applicant, it is respectfully submitted that the claims are patentable over these prior art references and should be allowed.

Claim 18 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being obvious over <u>Lauterbach Jr.</u> in view of <u>Stoker Jr.</u>, U.S. Patent No. 3,695,417. For the reasons set forth above concerning Claim

U.S. Patent Application No. 10/651,815

17, it is respectfully submitted that this claim is patentably distinct over the prior art of record

and should be allowed. Furthermore, as previously indicated, contrary to the Examiner's

statement, the indicia are printed on the backing of the package, and not on the display window,

wherein the indicia may be seen through the window when the backing is folded over.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that Stoker Jr. does not teach a display chamber having

graphics on its exterior as claimed in Claim 18. Accordingly, it is respectfully requested that the

present rejection be withdrawn and the claims be allowed to issue.

Should the Examiner discover that there are remaining issues that could be resolved by an

interview, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned attorney at the telephone

number listed below.

August 22, 2005

PATZIK, FRANK & SAMOTNY LTD.

150 South Wacker Drive, Suite 900

Chicago, Illinois 60606

Telephone No.: 312-551-8300

Facsimile No.: 312-551-1101

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 3

9